THE PROPAGATION OF FLARE GENERATED SHOCK WAVES IN SELF-GRAVITATING SOLAR ATMOSPHERE

S.N.Ojha¹, Awaneesh Jee Srivastava²

¹Department of Mathematics, S.C. College Ballia (U.P.) ²S.R.M.G.P.C. Lucknow (U.P.)

Awaneesh_jeesrivastava@yahoo.com Mobile No-91-9839470169

Abstract

The effects of an interplanetary magnetic field on the propagation of the flare generated shock wave in a nonuniformly distributed solar self-gravitating atmosphere are investigated by using an approximate analytic method of Whitham. The expressions for the velocity of shock wave and for the other flow variables behind weak and strong shocks are derived and discussed.

1. INTRODUCTION

Recent observations have confirmed that a large amount of coronal mass is ejected into the interplanetary space during the solar flare activity. As a result of this interplanetary shock waves that propagate outwards from the sun into the interplanetary space are generated(Dryer and Wu(1985),Smith etal(1985), Yeh(1984), Hundhausen(1972), Maxwell & Dryer(1982) Berlaga(1975)etc. The theoretical studies of the flare generated shock wave propagation into the interplanetary space are treated bv Parker(1963),Korobeinikov(1969),Summers(1975),Rosenau and Frankenthal(1976),Low((1982)a, b(1984)a,b, Ojha and Tiwari(2007) and many others by using the method of self- similarity. Numerical solutions have also been obtained to discuss the propagation of flare associated shock waves with (Steinolfsonetal (1975)) or without (Hundhassen and Gentry (1969)), magnetic field.

Bird (1964) constructed a model of the outer solar atmosphere regarding it as a shock heated atmosphere based on the hypothesis that any equilibrium state of such an atmosphere would be such that the shock strength remained constant and determine the effects produced by the variation in properties of a gravitational atmosphere on a spherical shock wave propagating within it by using Whitham (1958) approximately analytic method. Expressions are also presented for the rate of change of the shock strength with the distance with and without steady mass motion and with ordinary distribution of temperature and composisons. The special cases of weak and strong shocks in adiabatic and isothermal atmosphere are treated in some detail. Ojha and Tiwari(2004) and Ojha and Singh(2008) have extended the analysis of Bird by taking into account the rotation and non-uniform distribution of ambient solar atmosphere respectively. In all the above studies the effects due to interplanetary magnetic field are neglected.

Tam and Yousefian (1972) investigated the effect of the interplanetary magnetic field on the propagation of solar flare generated interplanetary shock waves and found that the effect of the magnetic field on the shock speed is unimportant for strong shocks in comparison to the weak shocks and suggested that the model without magnetic field gives sufficiently accurate numerical results for the propagation of the shock waves. Since the magnetic field pressure and plasma pressure are comparable on the earth's orbit, the consideration of the effect of magnetic field is important. Therefore our aim here is to investigate the shock wave propagation through non-uniformly distributed self- gravitating solar atmosphere in presence of interplanetary magnetic field.

On propagating outwards from the sun, the interplanetary shock will experience a changing environment and as a result of this it generates unsteady disturbances behind it. Under these circumstances Whitham (1958) method for treating the propagation of shock waves through the regions of non-uniform flow is applicable. Expressions for the velocity of the shock, fluid pressure, density and fluid velocity are derived behind the weak and strong shock considering the cases of weak and strong magnetic field separately.

2. EQUATIONS OF MOTION AND SHOCK CONDITIONS:

Fundamental equation for spherically symmetric flow of a self gravitating atmosphere in the presence of magnetic field are (c.f. Lee and Chen (1968), Tam and Yousefian (1972), Rosenau and Frankenthal (1976)).

(2.1)
$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial r} + \rho \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r} + \frac{2u}{r} \right) = 0$$

(2.2)
$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial r} + \frac{H}{\rho} \frac{\partial H}{\partial r} + \frac{H^2}{\rho r} + \frac{GM}{r^2} = 0$$

(2.3)
$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial H}{\partial r} + H \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r} + \frac{u}{r} \right) = 0$$

(2.4)
$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial p}{\partial r} - a^2 \left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial r} \right) = 0$$

(2.5)
$$\frac{\partial M}{\partial r} = 4\pi\rho r^2$$

Where r is the radial co-ordinate at time t and u, p, ρ, H and M are the radial component of the partial velocity, pressure, density, magnetic field and the mass inside a sphere of radius r respectively, a is the speed of sound.

Suppose the distribution of the ambient density and magnetic field of the solar atmosphere are ^{given as} $\rho_c r^{-w}$ and $h_c r^{-m}$ respectively. Thus in case of hydrostatic equilibrium;

(2.6)
$$p_0 = \left\{ \frac{2\pi\rho_c^2 G}{(w-1)(3-w)} - \left(\frac{w-2}{w-1}\right)\frac{h_c^2}{2} \right\} r^{-2m}$$

(2.7)
$$p_0 = p_c r^{-2m}, \ p_c = \left\{ \frac{2\pi \rho_c^2 G}{(w-1)(3-w)} - \left(\frac{w-2}{w-1}\right) \frac{h_c^2}{2} \right\}$$

Where ρ_c , h_c and p_c are constants and m = w - 1

(2.8)
$$M_0 = \frac{4\pi\rho_c}{(3-w)}r^{(3-w)}$$

which gives w < 3 and therefore m < 2, in particular, when w = 2, m = 1.

(2.9)
$$a_0 = a_c r^{\frac{2-w}{2}}, \ a_c^2 = \frac{\mathcal{P}_c}{\rho_c}$$

 $\rho = \rho_0 N$

 $u = \left(\frac{N-1}{N}\right)U$

The thermodynamic shock condition may be written as (Whitham (1958))

 $(2.11) H = H_0 N$

(2.13)
$$p = p_0 \left\{ \frac{(N+1) + \gamma(N-1)}{(N-1)^3(\gamma-1)} + \frac{1}{\beta_0} \right\} \left(\frac{(N-1)^2(\gamma-1)}{(\gamma+1) - (\gamma-1)N} \right)$$

(2.14)
$$U^{2} = \frac{2N\left\{\left(\frac{2-\gamma}{\gamma}\right)N+1\right\}a_{0}^{2}}{(\gamma+1)-N(\gamma-1)}\left[\frac{\gamma}{(2-\gamma)N+\gamma}+\frac{1}{\beta_{0}}\right]$$

where the quantities with suffix 0 and without suffix define values of the quantities immediately ahead and behind the shock, U is the shock velocity, a_0 is the sound speed ahead of the shock and β_0 is defined as the parameter for the strength of magnetic field ahead of the shock where

(2.15)
$$\beta_0 = \frac{2p_0}{H_0^2} = \frac{2p_c}{h_c^2}$$

With the help of (2.7) and (2.15), we get

(2.16)
$$2\pi\rho_{c}G = \frac{p_{c}}{\rho_{c}} \left(\frac{\beta_{0}(w-1) + (w-2)}{\beta_{0}} \right)$$

3. DISCUSSIONS:

For diverging shocks, the characteristic form of the system of equations (2.1) - (2.4) are, (Whitham (1958)).

(3.1)
$$dp + HdH + \rho cdu + \frac{2\rho cu}{(u+c)}\frac{dr}{r} + \frac{\rho GMc}{(u+c)}\frac{dr}{r^2} = 0$$

where

(3.2)
$$c^2 = a^2 + b^2 = \frac{\gamma p}{\rho} + \frac{H^2}{\rho}$$

WEAK SHOCKS

For very weak shock we can take N as

(3.3)
$$\frac{\rho}{\rho_0} = N = 1 + \alpha \qquad \text{where } \alpha << 1$$

For weak magnetic field i.e. where ho_0 is very high the shock conditions take the forms

(3.4)
$$\rho = \rho_0 (1 + \alpha), \text{ H} = \text{H}_0 (1 + \alpha), u = a_0 \alpha, p = p_0 (1 + \gamma \alpha)$$

and
$$U = \left(1 + \left(\frac{\gamma + 1}{4}\right)\alpha\right)a_0$$

Substituting (3.4) in (3.1), we have

(3.5)
$$\left(2 + \frac{2}{\gamma\beta_0}\right)d\alpha + \left(\frac{dp_0}{p_0} + \frac{da_0}{a_0} + \frac{2dr}{r}\right)\alpha = 0$$

Substituting dp_0 and da_0 in (3.5)

(3.6)
$$\frac{d\alpha}{\alpha} = -\left(\frac{10-5w}{4}\right)\left(\frac{\gamma\beta_0}{1+\gamma\beta_0}\right)$$

Or

(3.7)
$$\alpha = K_1 r^{-n_1}, \ n_1 = \left(\frac{10 - 5w}{4}\right) \left(\frac{\gamma \beta_0}{1 + \gamma \beta_0}\right) \approx \frac{5}{4} \left(2 - w\right)$$

Thus, the flow variables and velocity of the shock are not affected by weak magnetic field. When w = 2, α is proportional to r

 $\frac{dr}{r}$

For strong magnetic field i.e. for very small eta_0 the shock conditions take the forms

(3.8)
$$\rho = \rho_0 (1+\alpha), \ H = H_0 (1+\alpha), \ u = \left(\frac{2}{\gamma \beta_0}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} a_0 \alpha, \ p = p_0 (1+\gamma \alpha)$$

and

$$U = \left(1 + \frac{3}{4}\alpha\right)a_0\sqrt{\frac{2}{\gamma\beta_0}}$$

Substitution of (3.8) in (3.1) gives

(3.9)
$$\left(1+\frac{4}{\gamma\beta_0}\right)\frac{d\alpha}{\alpha} + \left(\frac{dp_0}{p_0} + \frac{2}{\gamma\beta_0}\left(\frac{da_0}{a_0} + \frac{2dr}{r}\right)\right) = 0$$

Substituting the value of $\frac{dp_0}{p_0}$ and $\frac{da_0}{a_0}$ we get

(3.10)
$$\frac{d\alpha}{\alpha} = -\left\{\frac{(6-w)+2\gamma\beta_0(w-1)}{(\gamma\beta_0+4)}\right\}\frac{dr}{r}$$

Integrating we get

(3.11)
$$\alpha = K_2 r^{-n_2}, \quad n_2 = \frac{(6-w) + 2\gamma \beta_0 (w-1)}{(\gamma \beta_0 + 4)}$$

where K_2 be a constant Thus, in this case,

(3.12)
$$\rho = \rho_0 \left(1 + K_2 r^{-n_2} \right), \quad u = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\gamma \beta_0}} K_2 r^{-n_2} . a_0$$
$$p = p_0 \left(1 + \gamma K_2 r^{-n_2} \right), \quad H = H_0 \left(1 + K_2 r^{-n_2} \right)$$
$$U = a_0 \left(1 + \frac{3K_2 r^{-n_2}}{4} \right) \sqrt{\frac{2}{\gamma \beta_0}}$$

Thus, the strong magnetic field affects significantly the velocity of the shock and the flow variables behind it. **STRONG SHOCKS**

When the gas pressure is much greater than magnetic pressure i.e. when eta_0 is very high,

$$N = \frac{\gamma + 1}{\gamma - 1}$$
 for strong shocks and the solar atmosphere may be regarded as independent of magnetic field.

When magnetic pressure is much greater than gas pressure i.e. when β_0 is very small, the shock conditions in terms of N and Shock velocity may be written as,

$$(3.13) \qquad \qquad \rho = \rho_0 N$$

$$(3.15) u = \left(\frac{N-1}{N}\right)$$

(3.16)
$$p = X \frac{U^2}{a_0^2} p_0 + L p_0$$

where

(3.17)
$$X = \frac{\gamma(\gamma - 1)(N - 1)^3}{2N((2 - \gamma)N + \gamma)}$$

and

(3.18)
$$L = \left\{ \frac{(\gamma+1)N - (\gamma-1)}{(\gamma+1) - (\gamma-1)N} - \frac{\gamma(\gamma-1)(N-1)^3}{(2N - \gamma(N-1))((\gamma+1)N - (\gamma-1))} \right\}$$

Now

(3.19)
$$c^{2} = \frac{\gamma p}{\rho} + \frac{\mathrm{H}^{2}}{\rho} = \left\{ \frac{X}{\mathrm{N}} + \left(\frac{L}{\mathrm{N}} + \frac{2\mathrm{N}}{\gamma\beta_{0}}\right) \frac{a_{0}^{2}}{U^{2}} \right\} U^{2}$$

Since for strong shocks $U^2 >> a_0^2$, we may take

$$(3.20) c = U \sqrt{\frac{X}{N}}$$

(3.21)
$$u + c = \left(\frac{N-1}{N}\right)U + U\sqrt{\frac{X}{N}}$$

(3.22)
$$dp = XU^2 \frac{dp_0}{a_0^2} + \frac{Xp_0}{a_0^2} dU^2 - 2Xp_0U^2 \frac{da_0}{a_0^3} + Ldp_0$$

w

(3.23)
$$HdH = N^2 \frac{dp_0}{\beta_0}$$

$$\rho cdu = \rho_0 (N-1) \sqrt{\frac{X}{N}} U du$$

$$\rho cGM = \rho_0 N U \sqrt{\frac{X}{N}} GM$$

(3.26)
$$M = \frac{4\pi\rho_c r^{3-1}}{(3-w)}$$

Substituting (3.20) – (3.26) in (3.1) we have (3.27)

$$\left(\frac{X}{\gamma} + \left(\frac{N-1}{2}\right)\sqrt{\frac{X}{N}}\right) dU^2 + \left(\frac{X}{\gamma}\frac{dp_0}{p_0} - \frac{2X}{\gamma}\frac{da_0}{a_0} + \frac{2(N-1)}{(N-1) + \sqrt{XN}}\frac{dr}{r}\right) U^2$$

$$+ \left\{ \left(L + \frac{N^2}{\beta_0}\right)\frac{dp_0}{\rho_0} + \frac{GMN\sqrt{XN}}{(N-1) + \sqrt{XN}}\frac{dr}{r^2} \right\} = 0$$

$$\text{values of } \frac{dp_0}{p_0}, \frac{da_0}{a_0}, \frac{dp_0}{\rho_0} \text{ and } M_0 \text{ we get}$$

ubstituting the

(3.28) where

(3.29)
$$P = \frac{\left(2(N-1) - \frac{W}{\gamma}\right)X}{\left((N-1) - \sqrt{XN}\right)\left\{\frac{X}{\gamma} + \left(\frac{N-1}{2}\right)\sqrt{\frac{X}{N}}\right\}}$$

 $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r}\mathrm{U}^2 + \mathrm{P}.\frac{\mathrm{U}^2}{r} + \mathrm{Qr}^{(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{w})} = 0$

$$(3.30) \qquad \qquad Q = \left[\frac{2\left(L + \frac{N^2}{\beta_0}\right)\left(1 - w\right)\frac{p_c}{\rho_c} + \frac{G4\pi\rho_c N\sqrt{XN}}{\left(\left(N - 1\right) + \sqrt{XN}\right)\left(3 - w\right)}}{\left(\frac{X}{\gamma} + \left(\frac{N - 1}{2}\right)\sqrt{\frac{X}{N}}\right)}\right]$$

Integrating (3.28) we get

(3.31)
$$U^{2} = -\left(\frac{Q}{P - w + 2}\right)r^{2 - w} + Kr^{-P}$$

where K is integration constant.

Expressions for the velocity density and pressure immediately behind the shock may be written as

(3.32)
$$u = \left(\frac{N-1}{N}\right) \left\{ -\left(\frac{Q}{P-w+2}\right) r^{2-w} + Kr^{-P} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$(3.33) \qquad \qquad \rho = \rho_0 N$$

(3.34)
$$p = \left[\frac{X\rho_c}{\gamma} \left\{ Kr^{-(P+w)} - \left(\frac{Q}{P-w+2}\right)r^{2(1-w)} \right\} + Lp_c r^{2(1-w)} \right]$$

CONCLUSIONS:

It is obvious from the above expressions that the density distribution and magnetic field distribution in ambient solar atmosphere affect significantly the velocity of shock and flow variables behind the shock waves.

REFERENCES:

- [1] Dryer, M and Wu, S.T. (1985); J. Geophys. Res. 90, 559.
- [2] Smith,Z.K.;Dryer, M. & Steinolfson, R.S. (1985); J.Geophys. Res. 90, 257.
- [3] Yeh, T (1984); Astrophysics space Sci., 98, 353.
- [4] Hundhausen, A. J. (1972); Coronal Expansion and solar wind, Berlin Springer Verlag.
- [5] Maxwell, A and Dryer, M. (1982); Nature, 300, 5889, 237.
- [6] Berlaga, L.F. (1975); Space science Rev., 17, 827.
- [7] Parker, E.N. (1963); Interplanetary Dynamical Processes., Inter science, New York.
- [8] Lee, T.S., and Chen, T. (1968); Planet Space Sci., 16, 1483.
- [9] Korobeinikov, V.P. (1969); Solar Physics, 7, 463,
- [10] Summers, D. (1975);Astro&Astrophys, 45. 151.
- [11] Rosenau, P. and Frankenthal, S. (1976); Phys. Fluids, 19, 1889.
- [12] Low, B.C. (1982) a; Ap. J., 254, 796.
- [13] Low, B.C. (1982) (b) Ap. J., 261, 351.
- [14] Low, B.C. (1984) a; Ap. J., 281, 381.
- [15] Low, B.C. (1984) b; Ap. J., 281, 392.
- [16] Ojha, S.N. & Tiwari, S.B. (2007) Ind. J. Theo. Phys., 55, 3, 255.
- [17] Steinolfson, R.S., Dryer, M. and Nakagawa, Y (1975) J. Geophys. Res., 80, 1223.
- [18] Handhausen, A.J. and GENTRY, R.A. (1969); J. Geophys, Res., 74, 2908.
- [19] Bird, G.A. (1964); Ap. J., 139, 675.
- [20] Whitham, G.B. (1958); J. Fluid Mech., 4, 337.
- [21] Ojha, S.N. & Tiwari, S.B. (2004) ;Behaviour of shock waves in gravitating bound of rotating star. (Unpublished).
- [22] Ojha, S.N. & Singh, R.K. (2008); Bell. Cal. Math. Soc. 100. 2, 157.
- [23] Tam, C.K.W. & Yousefian, V. (1972); J. Geophysical Research, 77, 1, 234.

ACKNOELEDGEMENT

This work is done under the support of U.G.C. Grant F.No.-38-195/2009 (SR), India.